

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	RNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/773,629	02/02/2001	Makoto Hara	2091-0233P	2091-0233P 7712	
2292	7590 12/29/2005		EXAMINER		
	EWART KOLASCH	TRAN, DOUGLAS Q			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	rch, va 22040-074	17	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		09/773,629	1	HARA, MAKOTO				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	1	Art Unit				
		Douglas Q. Tran		2624				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover	sheet with the cor	rrespondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CO 1.136(a). In no event, howe od will apply and will expire S tute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION. Iver, may a reply be timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	October 2005.						
		his action is non-fina	al.					
3)□	,—							
<i>,</i> —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-17 is/are pending in the	ne application.						
٠,٥	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12, 14-17 are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		ected to by the Ex	caminer				
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • •	•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		•	, ,	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• • • •		• •			
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35.	USC 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gir priority dilder oo	0.0.0. 3 110(2) ((d) (i).				
/-	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been recei	ived					
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the property of the prope				Stage			
	application from the International Bure	· •			o.ago			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a l	•	` ''					
				3 773 404				
			DOUGLAS C	4 i man 'Amined				
			7700	MIT OI				
Attachmen		🗖	V					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	08) 5) 🔲	Notice of Informal Pate	\ \ \ \	O-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 (Other:					

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a printing service system including a single collaborating

system is asked about the authentication information, classified in class 358, subclass 1.9.

II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a different procedure in which a printing service system

including a plurality of collaborating systems wherein one of them is chosen and asked about

the authentication information, classified in class 358, subclass 1.15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

Application/Control Number: 09/773,629

Art Unit: 2624

Page 3

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas Q. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7442 or E-mail address is douglas.tran@uspto.gov.

Dec. 22, 2005

DOUGLAS Q. TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Carriery